

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,854	09/26/2001	Gary F. Hirsch	GH 2	1600
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	590 11/05/2004		EXAMINER	
CROWE AND DUNLEVY, P.C. 20 NORTH BROADWAY			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
SUITE 1800 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102-8273			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	09/963,854	HIRSCH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 J 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the co	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	, ,	2.0.210.
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 16-37 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 16-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exercisity under 35 U.S.C. § 119	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing of the d	ected to, See 37 CFR 1 121(d)
_	priority under 25 H O O (2.440)	(1)
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) e tent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	ion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1

Art Unit: 1746

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6 and 16-24, 26-28 and 30-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patton et al.

Re claims 6, 16 and 30, Patton is cited disclosing a trench apparatus for liquid treatment (e.g. bleaching, see col. 5, lines 43) of fabric comprising a trench (102), a pull chain/rope (114) having a plurality of shackles (115) attached thereto, for securing one or more bags (116), the chain/rope being positioned for pulling the bags containing dyed fabric in a longitudinal direction of the trench whereby the longitudinal movement of the bags causes liquid to flow in a direction counter to the movement of the bags with the chain engaging a pulley (112, 118), and an untreated platform (106) adjacent one end of the trench and a treated platform (104) adjacent the other end of the trench wherein the trench has a liquid treatment zone (see figs. 7, the section between reference numeral 120 and reference numeral 122) that differs from the claim only in the specific recitation of the "bags being dimensioned when full to block the liquid flow down the trench". However, Patton does disclose that the "bags act as a plug, forcing the liquid to flow through the contents of each bag as the bag is drawn through the trench". Thusly, it is deemed to be inherent that the bag is dimensioned, when full, to block the flow of

Art Unit: 1746

liquid down the trench to, as instantly claimed. Re claim 17, Patton discloses the denim fabric and the treatment liquid and the solvent and/or reducing agent. Re claim 18, Patton discloses the treatment fluid being passed from the second vat to the first vat in countercurrent movement. Re claim 19, Patton discloses the plurality of bags. Re claims 20 and 24, Patton discloses the moving of the bag in a first vat containing a solvent/reducing agent, moving the bag across a drainage platform and the moving of the bag into a second vat. Re claims 21 26, 31, 34 and 35, Patton discloses the denim, bleaching agent reducing agent, and solvent. Re claims 22 and 27, Patton discloses the countercurrent flow. Re claims 23, 28 and 33, Patton discloses the plurality of bags moved in sequence down first and second vats. Re claims 27 and 32, Patton discloses the countercurrent flow. Re claim 6, Patton discloses the dyes, chemicals and/or reagents that can be added.

3. Claims 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patton et al. in view of Oldershaw.

Claim 25 defines over Patton only in the recitation of the pump. Oldershaw is cited disclosing the pump as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Patton, to include a pump as taught by Oldershaw, for the purpose of positively moving the fluid from vat to vat.

4. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patton in view of Traut et al.

Claim 29 defines over Patton only in the recitation of the airtight cover. Traut is cited disclosing in process for treating material where there is provided a vat with an airtight

Art Unit: 1746

cover. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the process of Patton to include the providing of an airtight cover as taught by Traut, for the purpose of preventing oxidation of the treatment fluid as is common in the art.

5. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patton in view of Hendrix et al.

Claim 37 defines over Patton only in the recitation of the specific ingredients as claimed. Hendrix is cited disclosing a fluid treatment process, the arrangement of providing the ingredients as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Patton, to employ the ingredient as taught by Hendrix, since this is clearly dependent upon the type of treatment being carried out.

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed June 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard to the remarks on the Patton reference, namely that Patton fails to disclose a "liquid treatment zone", please note that the examiner has defined -- treatment-- as "to subject to some agent or action in order to bring about a particular result" and --zone-- as "an area that is divided off or somehow differentiated from other areas", Random House College Dictionary, 1980. Therefore, since Patton discloses at col. 7, lines 51-55, that there is a treatment vat comprising a "treatment liquid" and as shown in fig 7, that the treatment vat is divided off/differentiated from other areas (106, 104). It is believed the Patton clearly discloses a treatment zone.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1746

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:30 and some Saturdays from approximately 7:30 am to 1:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1746

Page 6

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746